

From: [ANDERSON Jim M](#)
To: [FARRER David G](#); [Chip_Humphrey/R10/USEPA/US@EPA](#); [Jim M ANDERSON](#)
Subject: RE: Naming GASCO in Public Health Assessment
Date: 01/07/2011 12:53 PM

David,

I don't think there's any reason **not** to use the name Gasco. However, you may want to call it the "former Gasco site". Chip?

Jim

From: David G FARRER [mailto:david.g.farrer@state.or.us]
Sent: Friday, January 07, 2011 12:51 PM
To: humphrey.chip@epa.gov; Jim M ANDERSON
Subject: Naming GASCO in Public Health Assessment

Jim and/or Chip,

In the Final version of the Portland Harbor Public Health Assessment (which you will get to review prior to public release), we have identified the beach at GASCO as a long-term exposure cancer risk hazard from direct exposure to PAHs in the sediment. This was in response to public comment that pointed out that all beaches are accessible to recreational users from the water. So, we eliminated the distinction between "industrial" and "recreational" beaches and just called them all "beaches" and applied recreational user exposure factors.

Anyway, we want to identify the GASCO beach on a map in the document and in the text in a way that people can recognize. Is there any legal or political reason why we shouldn't use the name "Gasco" in the document and on the map?

Thanks,

David Farrer
Office of Environmental Public Health
Oregon Health Authority
800 NE Oregon St., Ste. 640
Portland, OR 97232-2162

tel. (971) 673-0971

fax (971) 673-0979

David.G.Farrer@state.or.us